| Family Name | Lutkevitch |
| :---: | :---: |
| Given Name | Angela |
| Person ID | 1286371 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Lutkevitch |
| Given Name | Angela |
| Person ID | 1286371 |
| Title | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness-Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Redacted reasons - <br> Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | The proposal should not be allowed as the site is on publicly accessible green belt land, which is protected by National Planning Policy. This at a time when people are being encouraged by the Government to spend time in nature for their physical and mental health. <br> The site is not accessible to either the Metro or local train stations and will, therefore, result in extra traffic which the infrastructure will not be able to cope with. This will again have a detrimental affect on the air quality and people"s physical and mental health. |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | No modifications could make the plan compliant and sound. <br> There are enough brown site ares which could be developed to accommodate the suggested plans. <br> The plans for Bamford/Morden should there removed from the PfE. |

